

APR 27 2012

DEPT OF INSURANCE
BY 

STATE OF ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:

GOLDEN RULE INSURANCE COMPANY,

NAIC # 62286,

Respondent

) Docket No. 12A-151-INS

) **CONSENT ORDER**

Examiners for the Department of Insurance ("the Department") conducted a targeted market conduct examination of Golden Rule Insurance Company ("GRIC" or "the Company"). The Report of Targeted Examination of Golden Rule Insurance Company, dated as of December 31, 2009, ("the Report") alleges that the Company has violated Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 20-444(A), 20-448(B), 20-461(A)(3) and (4), 20-1379(L), 20-2110(A), 20-2533(C) and (D), 20-2537(A), and 20-3102, and Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R20-6-201(C)(1), (2), (3), (7), (8), and (9), (F) and (P), and R20-6-801(F).

The Company wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, admits that the following Findings of Fact are true and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. GRIC, an Indiana-domiciled company, is authorized to transact life and disability insurance in Arizona pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.

2. The Director authorized the Examiners to conduct a targeted market conduct examination of the Company. The examination covered the time period from

1 January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009, and was concluded on May 24, 2011.
2 Based on the examination findings, the Examiners prepared the Report, dated
3 December 31, 2009.

4 3. With regard to advertising used during the examination period, the
5 Company:

6 a. Failed to identify the source of statistics cited, or the time period from
7 which the statistics were derived, in 25 individual medical advertising forms reviewed;

8 b. Used 36 individual medical advertising forms containing untruthful or
9 misleading statements that did not accurately describe policy benefits or advantages
10 and/or exaggerated policy benefits or advantages;

11 c. Used one short term medical advertising form that made incomplete
12 and misleading comparisons with COBRA coverage;

13 d. Used one individual medical advertising form that listed specific
14 policy benefits but failed to disclose a waiting period, related exclusions, reductions and
15 limitations, and/or exclusions, reductions or limitations applicable to preexisting
16 conditions;

17 4. The Company declined to offer association group individual medical
18 insurance to one applicant on the basis of applicant's age of 64 years and 6 months.

19 5. With regard to new business issued during the examination period, the
20 Company:

21 a. Issued 10 certificates out of the sample of 110 Association Group
22 New Business files to HIPAA-eligible individuals subject to a 14-day waiting period
23 while waiving the waiting period for other HIPAA-eligible insureds;

24

25

1 b. Issued certificates in Arizona that limit benefits for AIDS and AIDS-
2 related claims if AIDS or AIDS-related claims are incurred during the first year of
3 coverage.

4 6. The Company failed to provide a Summary of Rights in the case of two
5 Association Group applications declined by the Company.

6 7. The Company may have failed to perform a reasonable investigation
7 before denying seven claims of 55 claims reviewed.

8 8. The Company used an Explanation of Benefits ("EOB") form that did not
9 include a notice of the right to appeal the denied claim.

10 9. The Company issued certificates of creditable coverage that failed to
11 provide consumer contact information on the certificate of credible coverage.

12 10. The Company used an outdated contact phone number for the
13 Department's Consumer Affairs Division on its correspondence and notices related to
14 appeals.

15 11. The Company failed to provide notice of the right to proceed to an
16 external independent review following two second-level appeals.

17

18

1 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 1. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-444(A) and R20-6-201(F) and (P) by
3 failing to identify the source(s) of statistics or the time period from which the statistics
4 were derived.

5 2. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-444(A) and R20-6-201(C)(1), (C)(2)
6 and (C)(3) by using individual medical advertising forms containing untruthful or
7 misleading statements that did not accurately describe policy benefits or advantages
8 and/or exaggerated policy benefits or advantages.

9 3. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-444(A) and R20-6-201(C)(1) and
10 (C)(2) by making incomplete and misleading comparisons between Short Term Medical
11 and COBRA coverage.

12 4. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-444(A) and R20-6-201(C)(1), (2), (7),
13 (8), and/or (9) by using an advertising form that listed specific policy benefits but failed
14 to disclose a waiting period and other related exclusions, reductions and limitations.

15 5. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-448(B) by unfairly discriminating
16 among insureds of the same class and essentially the same hazard by:

17 a. Declining coverage to an otherwise eligible individual solely on the
18 basis that she was 64.5 years of age;

19 b. Issuing policies to some HIPAA-eligible insureds subject to a 14-
20 day waiting period while issuing the same coverage to other HIPAA-eligible insureds
21 without the waiting period.

22 6. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-2110(A) by failing to provide the
23 required Summary of Rights when declining an application for insurance.

24 7. The Company violated A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(3) and (4) and A.A.C. R20-6-
25 801(F) by failing to perform a thorough investigation before denying claims.

1 iii. Provide the pertinent policy exclusions, reduction or limitations
2 whenever specific benefits are listed;

3 b. Offer Association Group individual coverage to all individuals under
4 age of 65 years who otherwise meet the underwriting guidelines for the coverage.

5 c. Waive the 14-day waiting period for all HIPAA-eligible certificates of
6 insurance.

7 d. Issue new coverage that does not limit benefits for AIDS and AIDS-
8 related claims.

9 e. Provide the required Summary of Rights to all applicants whose
10 coverage is declined.

11 f. Perform a reasonable investigation before denying claims.

12 g. Pay adequate interest on late claims submitted by insureds or
13 providers in accordance with relevant statutory requirements.

14 h. Use EOB forms that prominently display the notice of the next level of
15 appeal.

16 i. Issue certificates of creditable coverage that comply with all statutory
17 notice requirements.

18 j. Provide correct contact information for the Department's Consumer
19 Affairs Division on all correspondences and notices related to appeals of denied claims.

20 k. Provide accurate information concerning the next level of appeal with
21 every notice of the Company's decision to uphold the denial of a claim.

22 2. Within 120 days of filed date of this Order, the Company shall:

23 a. Perform a self-audit for the period of January 1, 2009 to present, of
24 all claims denied because of a diagnosis of "overweight," "obesity," or "morbid obesity,"
25 using a reason code indicating that the coverage does not include benefits for weight

1 modification to determine whether these claims were for procedures, treatment, or
2 services unrelated to weight loss or weight modification.

3 b. Reprocess all claims identified by the self-audit(s) as having been
4 denied incorrectly.

5 c. For all reprocessed claims, pay restitution to the insured with
6 interest at the legal rate of 10% in accordance with A.R.S. §§ 20-462(A) or 20-3102.

7 d. With each such payment, provide a Department-approved letter to
8 the insured stating that an audit of claims following an examination by the Arizona
9 Department of Insurance had resulted in the identification and correction of the
10 previous denial.

11 3. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, the Company shall submit to
12 the Arizona Department of Insurance, for approval, evidence that corrections have
13 been implemented and communicated to the appropriate personnel, regarding all of the
14 items listed above in Paragraph 1 of the Order section of this Consent Order. Evidence
15 of corrective action includes but is not limited to memos, bulletins, emails,
16 correspondence, procedures manuals, print screens and training materials.

17 4. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives,
18 to verify that The Company has complied with all provisions of this Order.

19 5. The Company shall pay a civil penalty of \$49,000.00 to the Director for
20 deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 20-220(B). This civil
21 penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Section of the
22 Department prior to the filing of this Order.

23

24

1 6. The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Golden Rule
2 Insurance Company dated December 31, 2009 including the letter submitted in
3 response to the Report of Examination, shall be filed with the Department after the
4 Director has filed this Order.

5
6 DATED in Arizona this 13th day of April, 2012.

7
8 

9 _____
Christina Urias,
Director of Insurance

10
11

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered
2 this 27th day of April, 2012, to:

- 3 Gerrie Marks
4 Deputy Director
- 5 Mary Butterfield
6 Assistant Director
7 Consumer Affairs Division
- 8 Helene I. Tomme
9 Market Examinations Supervisor
10 Market Oversight Division
- 11 Dean Ehler
12 Assistant Director
13 Property and Casualty Division
- 14 Kurt Regner
15 Assistant Director
16 Financial Affairs Division
- 17 David Lee
18 Chief Financial Examiner
- 19 Alexandra Shafer
20 Assistant Director
21 Life and Health Division
- 22 Chuck Gregory
23 Special Agent Supervisor
24 Investigations Division

16 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
17 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210
18 Phoenix, AZ 85018

19 Michael Corne
20 VP Regulatory Affairs
21 Golden Rule Insurance Company
22 7440 Woodland Drive
23 Indianapolis, Indiana 46278-1719

24 
25 _____